

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,662	02/28/2002	Shunsuke Sato	50212-358 2508		
75	90 11/06/2002				
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			EXAMINER		
			PHAN, THANH S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2841		
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002		

Please find below and/or attached-an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1							
Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/084,66	2	SATO ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Thanh S P		2841			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	ion of Claims						
 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,5 and 8-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 February 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			• •			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen	•		2.2.2.33 120				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.							

Application/Control Number: 10/084,662

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Embodiment I, claims 1-3, and 6-7 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Drawings

Figures 15A, 15B, 16A, and 16B should be designated by a legend such as -Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A
proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office
action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not
be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art; AAPA hereinafter; in view of Okubora et al. [US 6,445,475].

Application/Control Number: 10/084,662

Art Unit: 2841

AAPA disclose an optical data link [figure 15A] comprising a mounting member [2] having a substrate [4] with a plurality of holes, and a plurality of electrically conductive pins [8] passing through said plurality of holes of said substrate; an optical element assembly [6], mounted on said mounting member;

Okubora et al. disclose an optical module [figure12] with a plurality of circuit boards comprising a circuit board [12] having a pair of surface with an electrically conductive layer connected to at least one pin of said plurality of electrically conductive pins, each of said pair of surfaces mounting an electronic component; and means [40] for supporting said circuit board so as to be spaced apart from said mounting member.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Okubora et al.'s circuit with AAPA's module for the purpose of increasing capacities; and Okubora et al.'s supporting mean with AAPA's module for the purpose of preventing cross-talk between the electronics.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA and Okubora et al.; AAPA as modified; as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Chen et al. [US 5,337,396].

AAPA as modified disclose the claimed invention except for the cover being electrically conductive.

Chen et al. disclose an optical module [figure2] comprise an electrically conductive cover [14].

Application/Control Number: 10/084,662

Art Unit: 2841

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Chen et al.'s teaching with AAPA as modified for the purpose of providing EMI shielding.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record does not teach or suggest in the claimed combination a substrate (second circuit board) having holes to accommodate components mounted on the bottom of the first circuit board.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kuribayashi et al. [US 5,802,230], Yingst et al. [US 5,117,476], Fairchild et al. [US 6,364,543], Tonai et al. [US 6,234,686], Lichtenberger [US 4,979,787], Birch et al. [US 6,461,058].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh S Phan whose telephone number is 703-305-0069. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

Art Unit: 2841

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David S Martin can be reached on 703-308-3121. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7722 for regular communications and 703-305-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TSP November 2, 2002

> DAVID MARTIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800